

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/686,065	10/07/2003	Jeff C. Unger	024.0015	4.0015 7982	
29906	7590 03/28/2006		EXAMINER		
INGRASSIA FISHER & LORENZ, P.C.			VO, HAI		
7150 E. CAMELBACK, STE. 325 SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85251			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1771		

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief 10/686,065 UNGER ET AL. Examiner Art Unit

	Hai Vo		1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cove	er sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN	CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the foll places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a national Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	on the same day a lowing replies: (1) Notice of Appeal (v	s filing a Notice of an amendment, af vith appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) of TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPER	s Advisory Action, or e later than SIX MON or (b). ONLY CHECK	(2) the date set forth	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath are been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lamay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(NOTICE OF APPEAL.	extension and the co e shortened statutor ter than three month	rresponding amount y period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in cor filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ex a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file AMENDMENTS 	tension thereof (3°	7 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be 	consideration and/			ecause
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in the appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling 				the issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)		uniber of finally re	jecteu ciaims.	
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s). 	.121. See attache		,	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	;			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9, 11-18</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>19-21</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filir entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necess.	o overcome <u>all</u> reje ary and was not e	ctions under appearlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a . 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanat REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			-	•
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered See Continuation Sheet.	·			nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s. 13. Other:). (PTO/SB/08 or F	PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The art rejections over allegedly admitted prior art and Wycech have been maintained. Applicants argue that since Wycech is directed to the problem of increased structural strength and resistance to bending of the bumper itself and Wycech is not related to the formation of the curved surface at the interface region to reduce stress as Applicants, the combined teachings of the applied references fail to establish the prima facie case of obviousness. The exmainer respecfully disagrees. Figures 4, 5 and 8 of Wycech show that the arch is present in both the channel shaped member and the inner shell and has two different radii. Wycech discloses the combination of the arch and the structural foam supports the load, stabilizes the walls of the channel-shaped member and distributes force over the area away from the concentration points at the welds. How the force is distributed over the curved surface at the interface section is what both Wycech and Applicants are concerned. Further, note that the art rejections over Sutherland and Wycech have been withdrawn because the combination of the two references does not suggest a reasonable expectation of success. Sutherland is directed to a baseball bat which is completely irrelevant to the force distribution at the interface section.

Hai Vo

HAIVO PRIMARY EXAMINER